

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,213	09/22/2003	George Cannan	22461.00	5730	
37833	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER		
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD			JIANG, CHEN WEN		
PO BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22215			3744		
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005	DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

54
----

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/665,213	CANNAN, GEORGE		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744		

	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE Fig.	of the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  IOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  MENDMENTS								
	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered	because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because     (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);     (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
$5.$ $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .		_						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	•					
		CHEN WEN	MANG					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. In regarding to the interview, Applicant is remained that the interview after final should follow MPEP 713.09.